(24)

No. 03-855
Title: City of Sherrill, New York, Petitioner
v.
Oneida Indian Nation of New York, et al.
Docketed: December 11, 2003
Lower Ct: United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit
Case Nos.: (01-7795, 01-7797)
Decision Date: July 21, 2003
Rehearing Denied: September 15, 2003
Questions Presented

~~Date~~	~~~~~~~Proceedings and Orders~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dec 21 2001	Letter, dated December 17, 2004 received from counsel for the petitioner December 21, 2004
Dec 11 2003	Petition for a writ of certiorari filed. (Response due January 12, 2004)
Jan 8 2004	Order extending time to file response to petition to and including January 20, 2004.
Jan 13 2004	Brief amici curiae of Counties of Madison and Oneida, New York filed.
Jan 20 2004	Brief of respondent Oneida Indian Nation of New York in opposition filed.
Jan 20 2004	Brief amicus curiae of New York filed.
Jan 30 2004	Reply of petitioner City of Sherrill, New York filed.
Feb 4 2004	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of February 20, 2004.
Feb 23 2004	The Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States.
May 28 2004	Brief amicus curiae of United States filed.
Jun 2 2004	Supplemental brief of petitioner City of Sherrill, New York filed.
Jun 8 2004	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of June 24, 2004.
Jun 10 2004	Letter dated June 8, 2004 from counsel for amici, Counties of Madison and Oneida received. (Distributed).
Jun 28 2004	Petition GRANTED.
Aug 12 2004	Joint appendix filed.
Aug 12 2004	Brief of petitioner City of Sherrill, New York filed.
Aug 12 2004	Brief amicus curiae of New York filed.
Aug 12 2004	Brief amici curiae of Cayuga and Seneca Counties, et al. filed.
Aug 12 2004	Brief amici curiae of Town of Lenox, New York, et al. filed.
Aug 12 2004	Brief amicus curiae of Counties of Madison and Oneida, New York filed.
Aug 12 2004	Brief amicus curiae of Citizens Equal Rights Foundation filed.
Aug 13 2004	Extension of time within which to file respondents' brief on the merits to and including September 30, 2004.
Sep 20 2004	Motion of New York for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument filed.
Sep 29 2004	Letter of respondent proposing lodging of maps and Annual Reports of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs received.
Sep 30 2004	Brief amicus curiae of United South and Eastern Tribes, Inc. filed.
Sep 30 2004	Brief amicus curiae of United States filed.

Sep 30 2004	Brief amici curiae of Cayuga Nation of New York, et al. filed.
Sep 30 2004	Brief amicus curiae of National Congress of American Indians filed.
Sep 30 2004	Brief amici curiae of Puyallup Tribe of Indians, et al. filed.
Sep 30 2004	Brief of respondents Oneida Indian Nation of New York, et al filed.
Oct 4 2004	Application (04A271) to extend the time within which to file the reply brief on the merits, submitted to Justice Ginsburg.
Oct 7 2004	Application (04A271) granted by Justice Ginsburg to extend the time within which to file the reply brief on the merits to and including November 18, 2004.
Oct 12 2004	Motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument filed.
Nov 1 2004	Motion of New York for leave to participate in oral argument as amici curiae and for divided argument GRANTED.
Nov 1 2004	Motion of the Acting Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument GRANTED.
Nov 12 2004	SET FOR ARGUMENT ON Tuesday, January 11, 2005.
Nov 12 2004	CIRCULATED.
Nov 18 2004	Reply of petitioner City of Sherrill, New York filed. (Distributed)
Dec 2 2004	Letter from counsel for petitioner requesting permission to lodge excerpts from Annual Reports.
Dec 17 2004	Letter from Attorney General of New York advising of recent developments received. (Distributed).
Dec 21 2004	Letter dated December 17, 2004 received from counsel for the petitioner December 21, 2004. (Distributed).
Dec 23 2004	Letter dated December 21, 2004 received from counsel for the respondent.
Jan 11 2005	Argued. For petitioner: Ira S. Sacks, New York,N.Y.; and Caitlin J. Halligan, Solicitor General, New York, N.Y. (for N.Y., as amicus curiae.) For respondents: Michael R. Smith, Washington, D.C.; and on behalf of the United States, as amicus curiae, Malcolm L. Stewart, Assistant to the Solicitor General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.